

## Puget Sound Science Update Products Implications for Policy Makers Agenda Item #05c

Prepared and Presented by: David St. John

Proposed Action: Briefing

**Summary:** This agenda item entails a briefing on the status of work to complete the Puget Sound Science Update Policy Implications document, the current timeline for the project, and the guiding questions that are being handed off to the Science Panel for the next steps of the project. The discussion will indentify and address issues that have bearing on the project timeline and the scope and the wording of the guiding questions.

**Background:** The Policy Implications work was discussed with the Science Panel at the June 8<sup>th</sup> meeting. The project scope and guiding questions documents were revised based on that discussion. The project background and these documents were presented to the Ecosystem Coordination Board and Leadership Council at their respective July meetings. The ECB and LC briefings resulted in the addition of two questions to the guiding questions document and direction to engage now on the communications strategy for the product, ensuring that the general public is considered a key audience for the work.

**Analysis:** This discussion is a critical step in advancing work toward completion of the Policy Implications product. The project briefings for the ECB and LC, while reflective of the newness of the project to these groups, raised their interest and elicited useful feedback. They are primed to continue the science-policy dialog and to engage on the anticipated draft product from the Science Panel. Keeping the work moving will be important in maintaining focus and building from the dialog that was initiated in July.

#### Staff Recommendation:

- 1. Ensure the guiding questions are an appropriate and sufficient starting point for Science Panel engagement
- 2. Identify and resolve issues affecting the timeline for completing work, and adjust the timeline as necessary
- 3. Advance the next steps of the work

#### **Next Steps:**

- 1. Science Panel initiates work to address guiding guestions
- 2. PSP staff and Science Panel scope the Fall workshop
- 3. Complete a communications strategy for the PSSU and Policy Implications products

#### Attachments:

- Policy Implications scoping document July 8, 2010 (pdf)
- Guiding Questions document August 13, 2010 (pdf)
- Project Gantt chart August 13, 2010 (pdf)

# Policy Implications for the Action Agenda from the Puget Sound Science Update Scoping Document July 8, 2010

<u>Owners</u>: Science Panel – scoping and substance; David Dicks and PSP policy staff – scoping, project management, and communication planning

<u>Audience</u>: The primary audience is policy/decision makers encompassing the Leadership Council, the Ecosystem Coordination Board, the Governor, legislators, the federal and state caucuses, Action Agenda implementers and stakeholders, and non-governmental organizations. Additional audiences include the Puget Sound science community – to inform their approach to developing science programs and projects to influence or inform the recovery of Puget Sound – and the media.

#### Product(s):

- A written report of approximately 10 pages
- A short set of key findings/messages to be delivered in presentations and communication tools
- A posting on the PSP webpage highlighting the product
- Press release for media outreach

#### Purposes:

- distill the fundamental and timely inputs of the Puget Sound Science Update for the Action Agenda, and facilitate their incorporation into the policy dialog guiding implementation, priority setting, distribution of resources, work planning, and adaptation related to the Action Agenda;
- inform the development of the scope of the 2011 assessment of Action Agenda effectiveness;
- show how science is being incorporated into the adaptation of the Action Agenda strategies to ensure their effectiveness;
- provide a model for incorporating future science products into policy-making

#### **Development and Communication Strategy and Timeline:**

- 1. PSP policy staff and Science Panel: prepare the document scope and questions, gather input from additional affected and interested parties: *May-June*
- 2. PSP policy staff: organize agenda time at ECB and LC meetings for discussion involving Science Panel members regarding the document scope and questions: *July*
- 3. PSP policy staff and Science Panel: finalize the scope and questions: Beginning of August
- 4. Science Panel: develop draft addressing the questions; preview a full draft and make improvements for clarity and focus: *August-September*
- 5. Hold a workshop inviting members of LC, ECB, Science Panel, and PSP policy staff to review a complete draft and potential key messages: *Fall 2010*
- 6. Science Panel and PSP policy staff: produce final draft of implications document and additional supporting products: *Late Fall 2010*

# Policy Implications for the Action Agenda from the Puget Sound Science Update Guiding Questions for Hand-Off to the Science Panel August 13, 2010

The following questions are posed to the Science Panel as a guide for distilling the policy implications of the Puget Sound Science Update. The Science Panel will now begin addressing these questions. If, as the Science Panel proceeds with this task, it finds value in adjusting these questions to better ensure meeting the purposes of this project<sup>1</sup>, it will apprise PSP staff of the recommended changes and they will together agree on the appropriate adjustments.

#### In re: Threats

Based on the information captured in the Science Update...

- 1. Has the current or future importance of specific threats, as reflected by the Action Agenda priorities, changed? If so, how?
- 2. Has the urgency (e.g., immediate need for restorative actions or increased funding) to address specific threats changed, even if their relative importance has not? If so, how?
- 3. Are there new threats that need to be accounted for in Action Agenda priorities and implementation efforts?
- 4. Are the Action Agenda priorities and implementation efforts collectively effectively focusing on the most important threats?
- 5. Can we better estimate the level of effort necessary to effectively address a threat?

#### In re: Goal/Target Setting

Based on the information captured in the Science Update...

- 1. What are the implications of current science for setting of targets/ benchmarks for any indicator? Are we measuring the right elements of the ecosystem to accurately gauge progress toward Puget Sound recovery and inform strategic decisions toward achieving this outcome?
- 2. Are we measuring indicators and interpreting the related data appropriately through the monitoring and assessment program?
- 3. Are there any ecosystem indicator thresholds that would suggest changes to ecosystem states that need to be avoided or managed to recover Puget Sound? If so, what are they and how should they be reflected in the Action Agenda?
- 4. What are effective ways to engage scientists, policy-makers and the public in setting goals/targets?

### In re: Strategies

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As specified in the July 8, 2011 Scoping Document for the Policy Implications document

Based on the information captured in the Science Update...

- 1. Are any current strategies to abate specific threats clearly inadequate, i.e., will not improve ecosystem outcomes?
- 2. Can current strategies be improved or made more efficient (e.g., address multiple threats)?
- 3. Which strategies for recovery may be more feasible given the social science understanding of public acceptance of different recovery strategies?

#### In re: Information Needs

Based on the information captured in the Science Update...

- 1. In what areas does the lack of natural scientific information most constrain the understanding of risks and uncertainties driving affecting policy decisions?
- 2. In what areas does the lack of social scientific information most constrain the understanding of risks and uncertainties driving affecting policy decisions?
- 3. What areas of scientific uncertainty are the most important to address to guide future adaptation and implementation of Action Agenda strategies?
- 4. The PSSU is organized around these chapters 1) ecosystem indicators, 2) current conditions, 3) threats, and 4) strategies. Could this be reorganized to better inform decisions guiding recovery? If so, how?
- 5. Which chapters and elements within chapters should the science community focus on for the next PSSU, as a means of improving our strategic approach to Puget Sound recovery?
- 6. Is there information or data not encompassed in the Science Update that is important to Action Agenda policy considerations and needs to be integrated in the near term? How much effort and what process are appropriate to achieve this integration?

	Policy Implications Document Timeline   Task Name	Start	Finish	Contact	May June	Julv	August	September	October		December
0	Tack Hame	Otart	1 1111011	4/2	May   June  25   5/2   5/9   5/16   5/23   5/30   6/6   6/13   6/20	06/27 7/4 7/11 7/18	8 7/25 8/1 8/8 8/	15 8/22 8/29  9/5  9/12 9/19	9/26 10/3 0/1 0/	1 0/2 0/3 11/7 1/1 1/2	2   1/2   12/5   2/1
<b>III</b>	Prepare Initial Product Scope and Guidance Questions	Mon 5/3/10	Mon 6/7/10	DSJ/Neuman							
<b></b>	Discuss Product Scope and Questions with Science Panel	Tue 6/8/10	Tue 6/8/10	DSJ/Science Panel	5						
<b>III</b>	Revise Scope and Questions	Thu 6/10/10	Wed 7/7/10	DSJ/Quinn	4111111						
<b>III</b>	Solicit Further Feedback from Science Panel on Scope and Questions	Mon 6/14/10	Wed 7/7/10	Quinn							
	Provide Product Materials to Tammy for ECB Meeting Packet	Thu 7/8/10	Thu 7/8/10	DSJ							
	Phone Call Briefing for ECB Members	Wed 7/14/10	Wed 7/14/10	DSJ/Neuman		<b>b</b>					
	PSSU Implications Agenda Item at ECB Meeting	Thu 7/15/10	Thu 7/15/10	DSJ/Quinn		•					
<b>III</b>	Provide Product Materials to Tammy for LC Meeting Packet	Thu 7/22/10	Thu 7/22/10	DSJ		↓ •					
<b></b>	PSSU Implications Agenda Item at LC Meeting	Thu 7/29/10	Thu 7/29/10	DSJ/Quinn			•				
<b></b>	Finalize Scope and Questions for Handoff to Science Panel	Fri 7/30/10	Fri 8/13/10	DSJ/Quinn							
<b>III</b>	Get Any Additional ECB Input From Josh as ECB Point Person	Mon 8/9/10	Fri 8/13/10	DSJ							
<b>III</b>	Prepare First Draft of Answers to Questions	Mon 8/16/10	Mon 10/4/10	Quinn/Science Panel			•	<u> </u>			
	Discuss Product Communication Approach for PSSU and Implications (Thuch gettng date)	Mon 8/16/10	Fri 8/20/10 u	uinn/Ransley/Mendizabal			•				
	Science Panel Meeting - Review Scope and Questions	Tue 8/24/10	Wed 8/25/10	Quinn/DSJ							
	DSJ OUT	Wed 8/25/10	Mon 9/6/10	DSJ							
<u> </u>	Develop Status Update Materials for ECB and LC Meetings	Tue 8/31/10	Thu 9/2/10	Quinn (w/ Neuman?)							
	Provide Status Update Materials to Tammy for ECB Packet	Fri 9/3/10	Fri 9/3/10	Quinn (w/ Neuman?)							
	Provide Status Update Materials to Tammy for LC Packet	Thu 9/9/10	Thu 9/9/10	DSJ/Quinn							
	PSSU Implications Update Agenda Item at ECB Meeting	Fri 9/10/10	Fri 9/10/10	DSJ/Quinn				•			
ect: PSSU : Mon 8/1	o dies implications bot	rogress illestone	<b>*</b>	Summary Project Summary	External Tasks  External Milesto		Deadline	Ŷ			
				-,	Page 1					SSU Policy Implications D	

	O F	Policy Implications Document Timeline				Augus
	Ð	Task Name	Start	Finish	Contact 4/	May June July August September October November 5/2   5/9   5/16   5/23   5/30   6/6   6/13   6/20   6/27   7/4   7/11   7/18   7/25   8/1   8/8   8/15   8/22   8/29   9/5   9/12   9/19   9/26   10/3   0/1   0/1   0/2   0/3   11/7   1/1   1/2
	<b>=</b>	PSSU Implications Update Agenda Item at LC Meeting	Thu 9/16/10	Fri 9/17/10	DSJ/Quinn	
1 2		Invitations to First Draft Review Workshop Out	Mon 9/20/10	Mon 9/20/10	Owings/DSJ	
3		·				
	<b>111</b>	Assemble Workshop Materials	Mon 9/27/10	Mon 10/4/10	DSJ/Quinn	
; ;		Distribute Workshop Packet to Invitees	Tue 10/5/10	Tue 10/5/10	Owings/DSJ	
	<b>III</b>	Science Panel Meeting	Tue 10/12/10	Wed 10/13/10	Quinn	
	<b></b>	Hold Half-Day Workshop with Invited LC, ECB,and SP Members to Review First Draft of Answers (check if MK back from vacation)	Thu 10/14/10	Thu 10/14/10	DSJ/Quinn/PSP Staff	
!	<b></b>	Meet to Review Feedback and Prioritze Completion Tasks	Mon 10/18/10	Mon 10/18/10	DSJ/Quinn/SP Members/Neuman/PSP Communications Staff	
	<b>III</b>	Complete Final Draft of Implications Document	Tue 10/19/10	Thu 12/2/10	Quinn/Science Panel	
	<b>III</b>	Develop Status Update Materials for ECB	Mon 10/25/10	Wed 10/27/10	DSJ/Quinn	
	<b>=</b>	Provide Status Update Materials to Tammy for ECB Packet	Thu 10/28/10	Thu 10/28/10	DSJ/Quinn	
	<b></b>	ECB Meeting	Thu 11/4/10	Thu 11/4/10	DSJ/Quinn	<b>★</b>
	<b>III</b>	Develop Status Update Materials for LC	Mon 11/8/10	Wed 11/10/10	DSJ/Quinn	
	<b>III</b>	Provide Status Update Materials to Tammy for LC Packet	Thu 11/11/10	Thu 11/11/10	DSJ/Quinn	
	<b></b>	LC Meeting	Thu 11/18/10	Fri 11/19/10	DSJ/Quinn	
	<b></b>	Provide Final Draft of Policy Implications Document to DDD/GO/LC for Preview and PSP Communiccations Staff for Release Prep	Fri 12/3/10	Fri 12/3/10	DSJ	
	<b>III</b>	Public Release of Policy Implications Document	Tue 12/14/10	Tue 12/14/10	Mendizabal/Ransley	
<u>.</u>	<b></b>	Science Panel Meeting	Tue 12/14/10	Wed 12/15/10	Quinn	